IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Kindly amend the paragraph beginning on page 3, line 4, as follows:

According to a ninth aspect of the present invention, each tap of the first FIR filter has a corresponding coefficient W as follows:

$$W_0 = unity$$

$$0 < \sum_{i=1}^{M} W_{-i} + W_{o} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} << 1$$
, and

-1 [[
$$<<$$
]] $\leq W_1$, ... W_n [[$<<$]] ≤ 0 .

Kindly amend the paragraph beginning on page 4, line 25, as follows:

According to a twenty-ninth aspect of the present invention, each tap of the first FIR filter means has a corresponding coefficient W as follows:

$$W_0 = unity$$

$$0 < \sum_{i=1}^{M} W_{-i} + W_{o} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} << 1$$
, and

$$\text{-1 } [[<<]] \leq W_1, \, \ldots \, W_n \, [[<<]] \leq 0.$$

Kindly amend the paragraph beginning on page 8, line 25, as follows:

The selection of the coefficients W is critical in providing the response defined in Fig. 5. To achieve this response, the selection of the coefficients W is critical. The appropriate selection of coefficients $W_1 \dots W_n$ determines the sharpness of the response, and the appropriate selection of coefficients W_{-m} - W_{-1} effectively cancels the precursor tail. In the present embodiment the coefficients are selected from the following constraints:

$$W_0 = unity$$

$$0 < \sum_{i=1}^{M} W_{-i} + W_{o} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} << 1$$

Customer No.: 23624





-1 [[
$$<<$$
]] $\leq W_1, \dots W_n$ [[$<<$]] ≤ 0 ,

in the preferred embodiment

Wo=1

 $W_{-1} = -0.1$

 $W_{-1}+W_0+W_1+W_2+W_3=0.1$

 $|W_1| > |W_2| > |W_3|$

-1 [[<<]] \leq W₁, W₂, W₃ [[<<]] \leq 0, preferably W₁=-.35, W₂=-.25, and W₃=-.20.

Customer No.: 23624